

ADMINISTRATIVE
INTERNAL USE ONLY

OGC HAS REVIEWED.

10 OCT 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: WVAM Coach Company, Inc., v. U.S. District Court for
D. C. Civil Action No. 2574-63 (formerly U. S. v.
Arnold Bus Lines, Civil Action No. 1172-63)

1. Mr. Henry J. Monahan, Assistant United States Attorney (Code 1234, extension 350), called me to ask the full names of two guards, Porter and [REDACTED]. He stated that Attorney Thomas L. McKevitt of the Lands Division of the Department of Justice had, at some time in the past, informally approached these guards at their post at the entrance to Langley off the George Washington Memorial Parkway and asked them if WVAM buses came through that gate to which the guards had replied in the negative. Mr. Monahan wishes to list the guards as possible witnesses for the Government.

STATINTL

2. I checked with Mr. [REDACTED] Chief, Security Division, OS, who advised that the guards are [REDACTED], who remembers nobody making a formal inquiry of him, and [REDACTED], who was a relief guard on the entrance post and who is on leave, away from the area, until 13 October 1966. Upon the advice of [REDACTED] I told Mr. Monahan that if he wanted these men for witnesses he should contact Mr. [REDACTED] Chief, Protection Division of Region 3, General Services Administration. Upon informal inquiry as to the point of establishing that the buses did not use the Parkway entrance, Mr. Monahan stated that the permit from the Department of the Interior permitted entry only through the Parkway gate and not through the entrance off Route 123, which the buses are presently using. In addition, there is the issue of the buses going to the Agency at Langley and then continuing on to McLean, Oakton, etc. Mr. Monahan also told me that the bus company contends that the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Commission has primary jurisdiction and not Interior. The Commission had entered the case.

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

**ADMINISTRATIVE
INTERNAL USE ONLY**

**SUBJECT: WV&M Coach Company, Inc., v. U.S. District Court for
D. C. Civil Action No. 2874-63 (formerly U. S. v.
Arnold Bus Lines, Civil Action No. 1172-63)**

3. I stated it seemed to me a rather ridiculous position for Interior to take regarding our use of Route 123 when huge buses in unlimited numbers, day and night, roar out the Parkway, then on to Route 123 past our gate, on their way to Dallas Airport. Mr. Monahan admitted this and stated, off the record, that he felt that the Government merely wished to establish the jurisdiction of Interior in the situation whereupon Interior would issue a new permit for the WV&M buses to continue to follow the routes they are now using.

4. This case, which was formerly under the jurisdiction of Mr. McKevitt in the Lands Division of Justice, has been transferred to the Office of the U. S. District Attorney and Mr. Monahan will represent the Government. It is scheduled to be heard on 20 October 1965 at the District Court for the District of Columbia, the crowded docket permitting.

5. I will keep in touch with the situation.

STATINTL



Assistant General Counsel, OL

cc: GGC
C/PSD/OS

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - DD/S
1 - OL/Official File
1 - OL/PS
1 - OL/LSD
2 - AGC/OL

AGC/OL [redacted] OK/2565 (10 Oct 65) STATINTL

**ADMINISTRATIVE
INTERNAL USE ONLY**